UConn IDEA Grant Proposal Review Rubric – Group Applicants

Office of Undergraduate Research – University of Connecticut

UConn IDEA Grant imagine / develop / engage / apply



Project Proposal

B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
Proposal is relatively clear, but uses some	Proposal gives a general picture of	Proposal has a number of weaknesses.
jargon and/or some definition is lacking.	project goals and objectives, but does not	Description is vague and goals and objectives
Project shows creativity and originality,	provide an in-depth description. Project	are poorly outlined. The proposal lacks
and is of the group's own design.	contains original, innovative or creative	evidence that the project is of the group's
Proposal outlines a plan for completing	aspects, but the extent to which the	own design. A plan for completion is vague or
the proposed project. Some evidence of	project is of the group's own design is	not provided. Proposal does not explain the
significance and contribution to the field	unclear. Proposal outlines a vague plan	significance of the project to the broader
and/or community is provided.		field and/or the community.
	-	
	community but does not explain.	
	Proposal is relatively clear, but uses some jargon and/or some definition is lacking. Project shows creativity and originality, and is of the group's own design. Proposal outlines a plan for completing the proposed project. Some evidence of	Proposal is relatively clear, but uses some jargon and/or some definition is lacking. Project shows creativity and originality, and is of the group's own design. Proposal outlines a plan for completing the proposed project. Some evidence of significance and contribution to the field Proposal gives a general picture of project goals and objectives, but does not provide an in-depth description. Project contains original, innovative or creative aspects, but the extent to which the project is of the group's own design is unclear. Proposal outlines a vague plan

Budget

A – Exceptional	B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
Budget is clearly explained and is	Budget provides a realistic estimate of	Budget broadly categorizes project expenses	Proposed budget does not clearly state what
appropriate for the activities proposed.	project expenses, but lacks some detail.	but does not provide sufficient detail to	requested funds would be used for, lacks
Cost estimates are realistic and justified.	Stipend request is adequately justified.	clarify or justify expenses.	sufficient detail or explanation, and/or not
Stipend request is clearly justified.			appropriate for the activities proposed.

Timeline and Feasibility

A – Exceptional	B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
The timeline is feasible, manageable and	Timeline is feasible, manageable, and	Basic outline of project milestones is	Proposed timeline lacks sufficient detail or is
appropriate for the proposed project,	appropriate for the proposed project.	provided. Lacks detail but with further	overly ambitious. It is unclear what steps the
demonstrating clear understanding of	Achievable project goals and milestones	development and/or modifications during	group intends to take to complete the
the time frame for proposed activities.	are outlined. If necessary, research	the project development course could be a	proposed project. Goals and project
Achievable project goals and milestones	approvals have been obtained or there is	feasible timeline. The feasibility of obtaining	milestones are vague or undefined. The
are outlined. If necessary, research	a plan outlined for obtaining approvals.	research approvals (if necessary) may be	feasibility of obtaining research approvals (if
approvals have been obtained or there is		uncertain.	necessary) is unclear, even with project
a plan outlined for obtaining approvals.			alterations.

Project Potential

The UConn IDEA Grant Program builds in a period of project development supervised by OUR staff that allows grantees time to refine the scope of their project considering feedback from the review committee, to finalize their timeline and budget, and to solidify mentorship arrangements. Please evaluate the overall project potential, taking into consideration the extent of necessary modifications and the opportunity for further development and refinement with guidance from the project supervisor and OUR staff during the project development period.

A –Very Good	B - Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
Project could benefit from or be	Project needs some modifications and/or	Project requires further development and/or	Project requires significant revisions and
strengthened by minor modifications and	refinement, though with further	refinement of scope. Through project	modifications; student should be encouraged
refinements. Project is viable and has the	development and guidance through	development activities and with guidance	to reapply once major revisions have been
potential for significant student learning.	project development activities, the project can be made viable and has the potential for significant student learning.	the project can be made viable. Project has potential for significant student learning.	made.

Individual Group Member Application

Each group applicant will be assessed in the following areas:

Student Qualifications and Preparations

A – Exceptional	B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
The qualifications, preparation and experience of the student are sufficient for carrying out their project components or contributions. The student's academic record and/or background knowledge and passion for the subject indicate probable success of the project.	The student has sufficient knowledge and preparation to carry out their project components or contributions. The proposal indicates strong interest in the subject and adequate preparation for engaging in the proposed project work.	The students' qualifications, preparation and knowledge on the subject are not clear or may be insufficient for carrying out the proposed work. Additional research and investigation will need to be completed and/or knowledge gained to prepare the student.	The student does not demonstrate sufficient background knowledge or qualifications to successfully engage in the proposed project work and/or the students' academic record does not indicate sufficient preparation.

Project Significance for the Student

A – Exceptional	B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
the project to the students' academic studies, future professional aspirations, and/or personal goals. The student's life experience, background, and/or goals indicate high potential for the project	Application explains how the work will benefit the student's academic studies, future professional aspirations, and/or personal goals. The student's life experience, background, and/or goals suggest the potential for the project experience to be transformative.	Application does not make a clear connection between the project and the student's academic studies, future professional aspirations, and/or personal goals. The student's life experience, background, and/or goals suggest the project experience might be transformative.	It is unclear from the application materials how this project or subject fits with the student's academic studies, future professional aspirations, and/or personal goals. The student's life experience, background, and/or goals suggest the project experience is unlikely to be transformative.

Strength of Recommendations

A – Exceptional	B – Very Good	C – Needs Improvement	D – Needs Significant Revision
Letters of recommendation indicate strong support. Recommenders provide a positive assessment of the significance of the project and its potential educational value for the student. Letters include a positive assessment of the student's ability to undertake the project.	Recommendations provide a positive assessment of the student, the project proposed, and the educational value for the student.	Recommendations include a positive assessment of the student but do not address the project or the student's ability to successfully undertake the proposed project.	Recommendations focus on student's academic performance and do not indicate knowledge of or support of the proposed project.